Ruling of the Chair *re*: the Mode of Laying of Papers on the Table of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—On 16th Jauuary 1965 after certain notifications, etc., were laid on the Table of the House, Sri V. S. Patil, desired that such papers should be made available to Members. I suggested that in future true copies of such papers might be placed in the Library for the use of Members.

Rule 269 of the Assembly Rules deals with circulation of notices and other papers to Members. According to sub-rule (2), such papers are deemed to have been made available if a copy is deposited in such manner and in such place as the Speaker may direct. In the Hand Book for Members instructions have been issued to Members that such papers will be deposited in the pigeon-holes kept in the lobbies and the Members may collect them. Sri V. S. Patil is accordingly informed about this.

Points *re*: recognition of Leader of the Opposition in the Assembly and Walk-out during Governor's Address to Legislature.

Mr. SPEAKER —Members will please recollect that there were two points which were talked of, one related to what happened on the day when His Excellency the Governor addressed the Joint Session. I adjourned the consideration of the same to a later date. Similarly, with regard to the points *re* : the question of the Leader of the Opposition. I said that I would try to help the Members by giving them as much information or literature about it as possible. In that connection with regard to what might be technically called walk-out, Press cuttings in respect of incidents that took place in several States Legislature Such as Maharashtra, Punjab and Madras are made available to Members for the purpose of study and they will be kept on the Table. The Report of the *ad hoc* Committee of the Punjab Legislative Assembly and the Report of the Parliamentary Committee of the Lok Sabha also deal with this point. Members desirous may read them. I mention that particularly for the benfit of members so that they may have the benfit of the same before raising points of order here, which are covered by them, and this will save a lot of time of the House. Then the following references in respect of the recognition of the Leader of the Opposition, are made available :—

1 Rulings by the Speaker of the Mysore Legislative Assembly given on 20th November 1955.
2 May's Parliamentary Practice—17th Edition, page 258.
3 Parliament by Ivor Jennings—Pages 152 to 162.
4 Cabinet Government by Ivor Jennings, pages 464-467.
5 Mukerjee Parliamentary Procedure, pages 17 to 20.
6 House of Commons Debates, Volume 361, column 20-21, 27-30.
7 Basu IV Edition, Volume 2—page 471.

8 Government and Parliament by Herbert Morrison, pages 121 to 146.
9 Morris John.
10 Campaign.

All these books are available for reference for the Members. I would very much appeal to them to go through all these and then discuss what has to be discussed.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Labour, Housing and Transport).—I beg to to introduce the Mysore Labour Welfare Fund Bill, 1965, which has been published in the *Mysore Gazette* under Rule 64 of the rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Mysore Labour Welfare Fund Bill, 1965, is introduced.

MOTION OF NO-CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now Sri Shivappa may move the Motion of No-confidence.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಪತಿಯವರೆ, ನಾನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ :

'ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಈ ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸವಿಲ್ಲ'.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That this House has no confidence in the Council of Ministers."

I believe on a prior occasion I had mentioned it to the Members that it would be more convenient to co-ordinate the work of the House if some of the subjects about which the Hon'ble Members wanted to speak were indicated. It will give me a chance to assess what will be the reasonable time required for discussing these matters. Unfortunately despite my request, nothing has been done. At least when the Mover moves the resolution, he may kindly indicate them. It will not be proper for other members to go on enlarging the same subject in the course of their speeches ; if every member begins to debate upon the same subject at same length, it would be difficulte to dispose of the business before this House. I do not insist, upon this being indicated.

It does not matter in the least at all. We have also to remember that other Hon'ble Members should not taken as much time as the mover taken it is not everybody that moves the motion unless I am otherwise convinced I should like to limit the Debate to the incidents, charges and reasons, etc., mentioned by the Mover.